


COMMENTARY

Open Access



Radiomics in precision oncology: hype or *ludum mutante*

Shi Hui Tay¹, Xin Zhang^{1,2} and Melvin L. K. Chua^{1,3,4*} 

Keywords Radiomics, Imaging, Precision oncology, Explainable AI, Nasopharyngeal cancer

Background

Precision oncology has emerged as the next frontier of therapeutic strategies in the treatment of human cancers. The idea is simple yet appealing: to decipher the genotypes and phenotypes of cancer, so that risk prediction and therapies can be individualised for every patient. To this end, next-generation sequencing (NGS) tools have been developed to characterise the genomics of tumours. While there have been successes with this approach in terms of matching effective therapies to genotypes, testing is however restricted by the availability of tumour tissue. Consequently, research has explored using imaging as a data source for deeper phenotyping, given that radiological scans, e.g. computed tomography and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), are routinely performed for cancer diagnosis, assessment of treatment response, and disease surveillance. Radiomics thus represents a domain of science that involves extraction of quantitative imaging features pertaining to shapes, grey-level textures, and

intensities that are non-perceivable to the human eye from specific regions of interest (ROI) delineated on radiological images.

Radiomics tools for cancer diagnosis and clinical stratification

Over the years, several studies have reported on the promise of radiomics for diagnosis and clinical stratification of phenotypes for treatment intensification or de-intensification [1]. These published radiomics models purport to prognosticate outcomes of cancer patients and/or predict their response to a specific drug [2, 3]. Nonetheless, the implementation of radiomics in the clinic remains challenging, partly due to poor model reproducibility. The Image Biomarker Standardisation Initiative (IBSI) was thus launched to standardise the radiomics workflow [4]. Separately, the radiomics quality score (RQS) was introduced to assist clinicians with evaluating the quality of radiomics studies [5].

It is in this background that we appraise the study by Liu and colleagues [6] who developed a radiomics signature to predict post-radiation nasopharyngeal necrosis (PRNN) in patients with locoregionally-recurrent nasopharyngeal carcinoma (lrNPC). For model development, the investigators utilised pre-treatment MRI images (T1-weighted with and without contrast-enhancement, and T2-weighted sequences) of 761 patients (split into 420 for training, and 341 for validation) enrolled from four hospitals. Using a random forest model, they built a 6-feature signature consisting of 1 first-order statistic, 2 shape features, and 3 texture features that could discretise patients into low- and high-risk for PRNN. The signature achieved AUCs of 0.722 in the training dataset, and

This comment refers to the article available online at <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12916-023-03164-3>.

*Correspondence:

Melvin L. K. Chua

melvin.chua.l.k@singhealth.com.sg; gmsclkm@nus.edu.sg

¹ Precision Radiotherapeutics Oncology Programme, Division of Medical Sciences, National Cancer Centre Singapore, 30 Hospital Boulevard, Singapore 168583, Singapore

² Radiation Oncology Center, Chongqing University Cancer Hospital, Chongqing, China

³ Department of Head and Neck and Thoracic Radiation Oncology, National Cancer Centre Singapore, 30 Hospital Boulevard, Singapore 168583, Singapore

⁴ Oncology Academic Clinical Programme, Duke-NUS Medical School, Singapore, Singapore



© The Author(s) 2023. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (<http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/>) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

0.713 and 0.756 for the internal and external validation cohorts, respectively. The signature outperformed known prognostic clinical predictors, including gross tumour volume, age, disease-free interval, sex, and re-irradiation dose [7]. It was also generalised across different centres, imaging parameters, and patient subgroups (e.g., different ages and rT-categories), with AUCs ranging from 0.671 to 0.888. To provide explainability for the model, the investigators correlated the radiomics features with somatic transcriptomic profiles of 29 patients. From gene set enrichment analyses, they associated the 6 radiomics features with fibrosis and vascularity signalling pathways.

Strengths and limitations

Overall, there are several strengths of this study. First, PRNN is an important and clinically relevant outcome in lrNPC patients who are being planned for re-irradiation; for these patients, soft tissue necrosis following re-irradiation is a common and potentially debilitating toxicity [8]. Thus, having a tool that can assist with patient selection is advantageous from the clinical perspective. Second, the study investigators asserted the reliability of their radiomics model by undertaking comprehensive steps for validation, which included proving its generalisability across different disease states and institutions.

That said, do we anticipate the deployment of this radiomics tool in the clinic tomorrow? Some notable limitations deserve mention. First, the AUCs of ~0.7 for prediction are modest at best. Second, it is uncertain if the samples used for the transcriptomic profiling were spatially correlated with the ROI from which the radiomics features were extracted. This is a crucial consideration when interpreting the robustness of the radio-transcriptomic analyses. Third, the lack of comprehensive documentation, provision of open-source codes, and data availability pose substantial challenges to assess model reproducibility.

Translation of radiomics tools from research to clinic

Ultimately, what are the radical steps needed to bridge the deployment of radiomics tools from research to the clinic?

- (1) Standardisation of radiomics workflow: Harmonising the processes from image acquisition to model validation is a key step. To promote adherence, the IBSI working group had derived a set of guidelines for benchmarking of future radiomics studies [4].
- (2) Automation of ROI segmentation: This step is important since radiomics feature extraction is highly sensitive to subtle variations in segmentation methods [9].

- (3) Ensuring data quality: For external validation of radiomics models, we propose the need for benchmarking criteria to appraise the quality of datasets relating to the accuracy of clinical annotation and the extent of data missingness, given that these parameters can skew model performance.
- (4) Transparency of study results and validation: Instead of solely relying on the investigators, efforts must be made to encourage validation studies by independent groups within a defined window period. The results of these studies should be made transparent regardless of their outcomes (positive or negative validation), and journals should commit to publishing them. To achieve this, detailed reports, source codes, and anonymised data from the original study must be made available.
- (5) Explainability of the radiomics model: We surmise it would be best practice for radiomics models to include clinical and biological associations that underpin their development. This could be achieved by either spatially correlating the radiomics indices to molecular profiles or treatment response within the ROI [10].
- (6) Spatial-level resolution of radiomics features: Distinct regions within a ROI may exhibit differential treatment responses. Thus, interrogating spatial-level radiomics may enhance its explainability compared with bulk-level radiomics, and represents an exciting direction for the field.

Conclusions

The oncology community remains in *limbo* about the relevance of radiomics in precision oncology, even though studies continue to report on its promise. Looking ahead, there needs to be a pivot in focus from reporting another “*hyped*” radiomics model to showcasing scientific robustness for clinical implementation. This would entail adopting some of our proposed measures and to eventually test these models in prospective radiomics-directed clinical trials. Only then, will radiomics fulfil its promise as a “*ludum mutante*” in precision oncology.

Acknowledgements

Melvin L.K. Chua is supported by the National Medical Research Council Singapore Clinician Scientist Award (NMRC/CSAINV20nov-0021), the Duke-NUS Oncology Academic Program Goh Foundation Proton Research Programme, NCCS Cancer Fund, and the Kua Hong Pak Head and Neck Cancer Research Programme.

Authors' contributions

Study conception and design: ST, MC. Data acquisition, analysis and interpretation: ST, MC, XZ. Administrative, technical, or material support: MC. Study supervision: MC. Drafting of manuscript: ST, MC, XZ. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Authors' Twitter handles

Twitter handles: @DrMLChua (Melvin L.K. Chua).

Funding

This work did not receive direct funding.

Availability of data and materials

Not applicable.

Declarations**Ethics approval and consent to participate**

Not applicable.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare no direct conflict of interest for this work. Melvin L.K. Chua reports personal fees from Astellas, Janssen, Pfizer, MSD, Varian, IQVIA, Telix Pharmaceuticals; personal fees and research funding to institution from Bayer and BeiGene; personal fees and non-financial support from AstraZeneca; non-financial support from Decipher Biosciences; consults for immunoSCAPE Inc. and PVMed; and is a co-inventor of the patent of a High Sensitivity Lateral Flow Immunoassay For Detection of Analyte in Sample (10202107837 T), Singapore and serves on the Board of Directors of Digital Life Line Pte Ltd that owns the licensing agreement of the patent, outside the submitted work.

Received: 6 October 2023 Accepted: 8 November 2023

Published online: 28 November 2023

References

- Liu Z, Wang S, Dong D, Wei J, Fang C, Zhou X, et al. The applications of Radiomics in precision diagnosis and treatment of oncology: opportunities and challenges. *Theranostics*. 2019;9:1303–22.
- Cai J, Zheng J, Shen J, Yuan Z, Xie M, Gao M, et al. A Radiomics model for predicting the response to bevacizumab in brain necrosis after radiotherapy. *Clin Cancer Res*. 2020;26:5438–47.
- Li Z-Y, Wang X-D, Li M, Liu X-J, Ye Z, Song B, et al. Multi-modal radiomics model to predict treatment response to neoadjuvant chemotherapy for locally advanced rectal cancer. *World J Gastroenterol*. 2020;26:2388–402.
- Zwanenburg A, Vallières M, Abdalah MA, Aerts HJ, Andrearczyk V, Apte A, et al. The image biomarker standardization initiative: standardized quantitative radiomics for high-throughput image-based phenotyping. *Radiology*. 2020;295:328–38.
- Lambin P, Leijenaar RTH, Deist TM, Peerlings J, de Jong EEC, van Timmeren J, et al. Radiomics: the bridge between Medical Imaging and Personalized Medicine. *Nat Rev Clin Oncol*. 2017;14:749–62.
- Liu T, Dong D, Zhao X, et al. Radiomic signatures reveal multiscale intratumor heterogeneity associated with tissue tolerance and survival in re-irradiated nasopharyngeal carcinoma: a multicentre study. *BMC Med*. 2023. In Press. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12916-023-03164-3>
- Li YQ, Tian YM, Tan SH, Liu MZ, Kusumawidjaja G, Ong EHW, et al. Prognostic model for stratification of radioresistant nasopharynx carcinoma to curative salvage radiotherapy. *J Clin Oncol*. 2018;36:891–9.
- Chua ML, Lee VHF, Lee AWM. Hyperfractionation for reirradiation of recurrent nasopharyngeal carcinoma. *Lancet*. 2023;401:878–9.
- Poiron MG, Caan MW, Ruhe HG, Bjørnerud A, Groote I, Reneman L, et al. Robustness of radiomics to variations in segmentation methods in Multimodal Brain MRI. *Sci Rep*. 2022;12:16712.
- Grossmann P, Stringfield O, El-Hachem N, Bui MM, Rios Velazquez E, Parmar C, et al. Defining the biological basis of radiomic phenotypes in lung cancer. *Elife*. 2017;6: e23421.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

At BMC, research is always in progress.

Learn more biomedcentral.com/submissions

